

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/809,249	MCKEE ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
QING-YUAN WU	2194	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) QING-YUAN WU.

(3) _____.

(2) Lawrence E. Carter.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 May 2010

Time: 3:30 PM EST

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/QING-YUAN WU/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2194

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was placed to Mr. Lawrence E. Carter, Reg. No. 51,532 to confirmed the typographical error in the IDS and that the applicant is intended to cite the Office Action for US Patent Application number 10/402,075. In addition, applicant confirmed the number of pages of the Office Action for US Patent Application number 10/692,324 to be 14 pages.